1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 KEVIN L. PERRIGO, 4) Plaintiff, Case No.: 2:14-cv-1052-GMN-PAL 5 VS. **ORDER** 6 PREMIUM ASSET SERVICES, LLC, 7 Defendant. 8 9 10 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States 11 Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen, (ECF No. 16), which states that Plaintiff's Amended Motion 12 for Default Judgment, (ECF No. 14), should be granted in part. 13 A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a 14 United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); 15 D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo 16 determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, 17 or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 18 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is 19 not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an 20 objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized 21 that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation 22 where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 23 1122 (9th Cir. 2003). 24 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

25

Accordingly,

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 16), is
2	ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Plaintiff's Amended Motion for Default Judgment,
4	(ECF No. 14), is GRANTED IN PART , pursuant to Judge Leen's recommendation.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that default judgment be entered in Plaintiff's favor, and
6	that Plaintiff be awarded a total of:
7	a. \$1,000.00 in statutory damages and \$1,275.00 in attorney's fees and costs under the
8	under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a);
9	and
10	b. \$1,500.00 in statutory damages under the Telephone Consumer Protection
11	Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227.
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Amended Motion for Default Judgment is
13	DENIED in all other respects.
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's proposed Default Judgement, (ECF No.
15	15), is DENIED as moot .
16	The Clerk is instructed to close the case.
17	DATED this 28th day of July, 2015.
18	Alha
19	
20	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	